

Hand Hygiene: A Comprehensive Approach for Nurses

12

Camelia Bogaert

12.1 Introduction: Hand Hygiene as a Core Patient Safety Measure

Hand hygiene is globally recognized as one of the most effective measures to prevent healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). These infections exert a profound impact on patient outcomes, contributing to elevated morbidity and mortality rates, extended duration of hospitalizations, and increased financial strain on healthcare systems. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), enhancing compliance with hand hygiene protocols has the potential to reduce the incidence of HAIs by as much as 50% [1]. Such a reduction would not only mitigate the individual burden on patients but also significantly ease the operational and economic pressures faced by healthcare institutions.

From a behavioural perspective, understanding the deeper motivational drivers behind hand hygiene practices is essential for fostering sustainable compliance. Drawing on Simon Sinek's 'Golden Circle' model, which posits that effective and lasting change stems from a clear understanding of purpose—the 'why'—it becomes evident that hand hygiene must be framed not merely as a procedural task but as an ethical obligation to protect patient safety [2]. This intrinsic motivation, grounded in professional responsibility and patient advocacy, is particularly crucial in healthcare environments where patients come to heal and expect to be protected—not exposed to additional risks. Ensuring a safe, hygienic setting is not only a matter of best practice but a core expectation of professional care [9]. Yet, motivation and intention alone are not enough. Sustained hand hygiene requires more than individual commitment—it depends on a supportive infrastructure. The WHO's Multimodal Hand Hygiene Improvement Strategy (MMIS) brings together essential elements such as

C. Bogaert (✉)

Department of Infection Prevention and Control, AZ Sint Lucas, Ghent, Belgium
e-mail: camelia.bogaertmiclaus@azstlucas.be

education, leadership, monitoring, and feedback into one integrated framework [4, 5].

12.2 The WHO Multimodal Improvement Strategy: A Structured Approach to Hand Hygiene

The WHO MMIS consists of five interconnected elements—system change, training and education, evaluation and feedback, reminders in the workplace, and institutional safety climate—and each element plays a vital role in embedding hand hygiene into the daily rhythm of clinical care [5].

This framework is not only comprehensive but also deeply practical, born from years of global experience and refined through frontline realities. It offers health-care teams a dependable structure—clear, adaptable, and evidence-based—that can be relied on, even amid shifting organizational priorities [5]. As infection prevention teams often find themselves puzzling together bits and pieces from various interventions, it is worth reminding ourselves that we already have a solid framework at our disposal. Rather than reinventing strategies or navigating a fragmented landscape of disconnected initiatives, we should focus our efforts on helping all staff—particularly nurses—become well-versed in this model and embed it seamlessly into everyday practice [20, 25]. A well-understood and culturally embedded strategy not only fosters consistency but also supports organizational resilience in the face of high staff turnover. When hand hygiene expectations are clearly defined and universally practiced, it becomes easier to maintain continuity, stability, and a shared sense of purpose, even as new professionals join the team [27]. The strength of a multimodal strategy lies in the ability to bridge policy and practice, transforming best practices from abstract ideals into tangible, habitual behaviours that shape a culture of care [3]. This alignment not only enhances quality but also contributes to long-term sustainability, with evidence showing that well-integrated models can help preserve continuity and impact even during periods of staff transition or high turnover [27].

12.2.1 System Change: Build It

Laying the foundation for effective hand hygiene begins with the physical and organizational structures that support it. Ensuring that essential tools—such as alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR), soap, clean running water, and functioning sinks—are readily and reliably accessible at all points of care is a non-negotiable prerequisite for effective hand hygiene [8, 18]. These elements must not only be present but thoughtfully positioned at the point of care, embedded into the workflow in ways that promote intuitive and effortless use [15]. Evidence confirms that making ABHR dispensers more accessible in strategic, high-traffic areas leads to increased usage and better adherence [18, 23].

Yet, building a culture of compliance requires more than bricks and dispensers. The ‘system’ in ‘System Change’ must also include people—specifically, the structure of human resources that underpins infection prevention measures.

Adequate staffing is essential: when staffing levels are too low, hand hygiene opportunities are easily missed, and the ability to remain attentive to hand hygiene requirements diminishes. Dedicated infection prevention link nurses serve as integral members of the care team, acting as essential bridges between the infection prevention and control (IPC) teams and clinical services. Their role in translating strategy into action, flagging gaps, and mentoring peers is irreplaceable [19, 20].

Leadership support is another cornerstone of sustainable system change. Without visible, ongoing endorsement from management, even the best infrastructure and personnel may fall short. The commitment to provide sufficient resources and to prioritize hand hygiene at the highest levels of leadership sends a powerful signal that this is not optional—it is fundamental [20, 25].

In sum, the physical and human elements of hand hygiene infrastructure must be consistently and reliably in place across all settings and shifts. Only when these foundational conditions are met can we begin to build—and expect to sustain—a culture where hand hygiene is truly a second nature [5, 11]

12.2.2 Education and Training: Teach It

Hand hygiene education is more than a box-ticking exercise; it is an act of professional empowerment and patient advocacy. Through meaningful, evidence-based instruction guidelines, healthcare professionals gain the knowledge, confidence, and practical awareness to recognize and act according to the key hand hygiene moments. At the heart of this instruction these guidelines lies the WHO's '5 Moments for Hand Hygiene' framework [6], which provides a clear and universal structure for identifying high-risk moments of microorganisms transmission:

- Before touching a patient
- Before clean/aseptic procedures
- After body fluid exposure risk
- Before clean/aseptic procedures
- After touching patient surroundings

These five moments must become second nature—not through passive learning or rote memorization, but through immersive and reflective education strategies. Simulation-based training (SBT) and role-specific e-learning modules have proven effective in anchoring these behaviours [24]. Platforms that invite multidisciplinary participation and foster dialogue across professions can also reinforce the shared accountability for infection prevention [20, 21].

Nurses, in their dual roles as learners and educators, are instrumental in bringing these strategies to life. They deliver bedside teaching model behaviour and serve as key facilitators of hand hygiene education during onboarding, continuing education, and informal peer learning [19, 21]. Crucially, they also extend this role to patients and families—translating technical protocols into simple, empowering messages. When a patient asks, 'Did you clean your hands?' they are not undermining

professional authority—they are participating in it [11, 19, 30]. This dynamic, built on mutual respect and transparency, transforms hand hygiene from a solitary act into a shared responsibility. In this way, patient partnership becomes a powerful lever for behavioural change and safety culture reinforcement [17, 20].

12.2.3 Evaluation and Feedback: Check It

Sustained behaviour change hinges on well-structured, meaningful feedback. Without timely and relevant assessment, even the most engaged healthcare professionals risk slipping into ineffective routines [4]. While traditional direct observation remains a foundational tool, it is limited by observer bias and the Hawthorne effect, where behaviour temporarily improves simply due to being watched [22].

Modern approaches enhance this foundation with electronic monitoring and real-time feedback systems that provide objective, continuous, and actionable data [8]. Digital dashboards, in particular, allow teams to visualize compliance trends and pinpoint areas for targeted improvement [7]. Wearable sensors and badge-based tracking systems can further increase visibility, offering individualized compliance insights and targeted feedback that reinforce hand hygiene habits [15, 18]. These systems, when thoughtfully implemented, support behavioural reinforcement without creating a disciplinary atmosphere. Still, the true value of these technologies lies not in the data alone, but in how that data is interpreted, communicated, and integrated into practice. Technology should not become a distant or intimidating monitor, but rather a catalyst for engagement—fuelling peer conversations, stimulating curiosity about patterns, and empowering healthcare workers to connect compliance with tangible patient safety outcomes [12].

Nurses play a pivotal role in this process. As both recipients and facilitators of feedback, they are essential to unlocking the potential of performance data. IPC link nurses, in particular, can serve as local champions who demystify dashboards, support peers in interpreting trends, and work collaboratively to identify the root causes of non-compliance. When feedback is paired with coaching and peer mentoring, it builds trust, enhances learning, and establishes a strong foundation for sustainable improvement [13].

Crucially, feedback moments must be structured and sensitively timed to ensure their maximum effectiveness [27]. Feedback should be integrated into the short- and long-term planning cycles of the IPC teams and delivered on several interconnected levels. At the individual level, it is essential during onboarding and refresher training, where new or returning staff members shape their habits and align with institutional standards. At the team level, feedback gains momentum in reflective quality huddles, regular debriefs, and peer-to-peer coaching—where open discussion and shared accountability foster group cohesion and engagement [17]. To be truly effective, feedback must be delivered when teams are psychologically ready to receive it—not during hectic clinical peaks, shift changes, or in emotionally charged situations. Misjudged timing can undermine even the most constructive message and erode trust. Choosing the right moment with emotional intelligence is as critical as the content of the feedback itself [21, 28].

Equally important are well-prepared plenary sessions, where teams present their progress, challenges, and ward-specific developed solutions to the broader hospital audience. These sessions encourage cross-learning, nurture professional pride, and strengthen team identity [20, 24]. They are most effective when attended by both clinical and managerial leadership, who by their presence signal that hand hygiene is not just a protocol, but a shared organizational priority that warrants visibility and recognition [3, 25].

12.2.4 Reminders in the Workplace: Sell It

In the dynamic and often demanding environment of clinical care, hand hygiene is a critical action that can be unintentionally overlooked. This is where strategic, well-designed reminders play a vital role. Whether presented through posters, floor decals, digital prompts, or screen savers, these visual interventions serve as gentle yet persistent nudges that keep hand hygiene top of mind in the midst of competing clinical priorities [13].

However, the effectiveness of reminders is not inherent in their presence—they must evolve to remain relevant and impactful. Static or outdated visuals risk blending into the clinical background, eventually becoming *wallpapers*—seen but no longer consciously registered [3, 12]. To preserve their communicative value, reminders must be refreshed periodically, not only in appearance but in resonance with the clinical context and routines.

While nurses offer essential insights into workflow and behavioural patterns, they cannot bear the responsibility of designing communication materials alone. This is where interprofessional collaboration is crucial. IPC teams, equipped with insights from audits, compliance observations, and ward-level feedback, should partner with institutional graphic design and communication departments. This collaboration ensures that visual materials are not only professionally crafted and targeted but also behaviourally informed and context-sensitive. Through this structured approach, reminders become more than visuals—they evolve into strategic tools for change, sustainable in both form and function [1, 9].

Each year, the 5th of May—World Hand Hygiene Day—presents a valuable opportunity to reinvigorate local engagement and strengthen institutional commitment to hand hygiene [1, 26]. However, in practice, maintaining momentum and enthusiasm for this day can prove challenging. Campaign fatigue is a well-recognized phenomenon, particularly in environments saturated with repeated messaging and stretched resources [3]. After years of repetition, the key challenge lies in ensuring hand hygiene messaging remains fresh, relevant, and emotionally resonant. Success in this space increasingly depends on creativity, inclusivity, and co-creation: leveraging storytelling, gamification, ward-based competitions, or visually compelling art installations that reflect the identity and values of each team. These dynamic, participatory approaches not only refresh awareness but build deeper emotional connection and engagement with the goal of safe care [9, 25, 28]. Most importantly, they turn passive messaging into lived experience—reminders that are not just seen, but shared, discussed, and celebrated.

Authenticity and alignment with clinical realities are key. A dynamic hand hygiene culture is not built on posters alone, but on collaboration, participation, and the belief that every contribution—whether from a clinician, a cleaner, or a patient—plays a role in protecting others [24, 25].

12.2.5 Institutional Safety Climate: Live It

Embedding hand hygiene into the DNA of a healthcare organization is not merely a technical achievement—it is a cultural milestone. As the final and arguably most complex component of the WHO MMIS, this pillar emphasizes that hand hygiene must transcend protocol and become a symbol of professional integrity, mutual respect, and accountability across all levels of care [5, 28].

Living hand hygiene means more than complying with standards, it requires that strategies should be tailored. This includes adapting hand hygiene promotion to different patient populations and care contexts—accounting for cultural norms, cognitive understanding, and psychosocial factors [9, 21]. Crucially, this transformation is most successful when patients and caregivers are seen not as passive recipients but as active partners in care. Involving them in the hand hygiene dialogue—encouraging them to remind healthcare staff, modelling good hand hygiene themselves, or co-creating educational materials—deepens trust and shared responsibility [14, 30]. It repositions hand hygiene as something done with patients, not merely for them.

Yet this kind of cultural shift cannot rest on frontline action alone. Institutional leadership must visibly and authentically support the process. This includes more than policy endorsements: it requires consistent engagement with clinical teams, investment in infection prevention resources, and recognition of exemplary practices. Such leadership actions affirm that hand hygiene is a core organizational value, not a compliance checkbox [6, 20].

Finally, a mature safety climate depends on psychological safety—an atmosphere where feedback is welcomed, peer reminders are seen as support rather than judgement, and staff feel empowered to challenge norms or propose innovations. This is what allows hand hygiene to endure through periods of change or stress, and to continuously adapt as teams, technologies, and patients evolve [27, 28]. A safety climate that is lived, not merely laminated, becomes a defining feature of professional and compassionate healthcare.

12.3 The Central Role of Nurses in All Elements of the Strategy

Throughout the WHO MMIS, nurses are not peripheral participants—they are central architects of success due to their proximity to patients and insight into real-world workflows [19, 21, 27]. Across each pillar of the strategy, their contributions are both operational and transformative:



Fig. 12.1 Hand hygiene multimodal strategy. (Designed by the author adapted from WHO [5])

As system builders, they identify structural gaps and advocate for essential resources, including staffing, equipment, and workflow adaptations.

As educators, they support colleagues, mentor students, and engage patients, translating protocols into meaningful practice.

As data interpreters, they lead and learn from audits, using compliance metrics not just for reporting but as tools for collective reflection and improvement.

As communicators, they co-create and tailor workplace reminders, bridging institutional goals with clinical realities.

As culture drivers, they model safety-oriented behaviours and help embed them into the identity of the team.

Involving nurses in hand hygiene initiatives has been associated with improved compliance and a positive impact on infection rates in some studies [20, 25, 28] (Fig. 12.1).

12.4 Conclusion

Hand hygiene is not simply a task—it is a cornerstone of safe, high-quality health-care. The WHO MMIS provides a robust, evidence-based framework to integrate hand hygiene into every layer of clinical practice. But the strategy alone is not enough. Its success depends on committed leadership, cross-disciplinary collaboration, and above all, the sustained engagement of healthcare workers at every level.

When hand hygiene becomes an integrated part of daily routines—supported by infrastructure, education, timely feedback, and visible reminders—it shifts from being an obligation to a shared professional standard. Nurses are essential to this shift: as role models, facilitators, educators, and advocates, they bring the strategy to life in real settings.

Equally vital is the role of technology. In complex and fast-paced care environments, digital dashboards, wearables, and automated systems are no longer optional enhancements—they are essential tools. When thoughtfully implemented, these technologies support staff in maintaining high performance, managing time efficiently, and transforming data into actionable insight.

Reducing infections, protecting patients, and strengthening the resilience of healthcare systems all rely in part on making hand hygiene a visible, shared, and consistently prioritized practice. Empowered healthcare teams—equipped with data-driven tools and supported by a strong culture of safety—are not only prepared to meet this responsibility; they are vital to maintaining the momentum and achieving lasting progress in infection prevention.

Key Messages

- Hand hygiene is the simplest, yet most effective tool to reduce HAIs—make it a habit.
- Be a role model—your adherence to hand hygiene encourages others to do the same.
- Ensure hand hygiene products are always within reach to boost compliance.
- Engage patients in hand hygiene—education and encouragement go a long way.
- Use technology, such as digital dashboards, to track and improve hand hygiene performance. Real-time feedback on hand hygiene compliance can lead to better infection control.
- ‘Leadership is the art of mobilizing others to want to struggle for shared aspirations’ [29].

References

1. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on hand hygiene in health care: first global patient safety challenge clean care is safer care. Geneva: WHO; 2009.
2. Sinek S. Start with why: how great leaders inspire everyone to take action. Portfolio Penguin; 2011.
3. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Role of hand hygiene in healthcare-associated infection prevention. *J Hosp Infect.* 2009;73(4):305–15.
4. Erasmus V, Brouwer W, van Beeck EF, Oenema A, Daha TJ, Richardus JH, et al. A qualitative exploration of reasons for poor hand hygiene among hospital workers: lack of positive role models and of convincing evidence that hand hygiene prevents cross-infection. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2009;30(5):415–9.
5. Erasmus V, Brouwer W, van Beeck EF, Oenema A, Daha TJ, Richardus JH, et al. A systematic review of studies on compliance with hand hygiene guidelines in hospital care. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2010;31(3):283–94.
6. World Health Organization. Multimodal strategies for hand hygiene improvement. Geneva: WHO; 2023. Available from: <https://www.who.int/campaigns/world-hand-hygiene-day/key-resources-on-hand-hygiene>

7. Sax H, Allegranzi B, Uçkay I, Larson E, Boyce J, Pittet D. 'My five moments for hand hygiene': a user-centred design approach to understand, train, monitor and report hand hygiene. *J Hosp Infect.* 2007;67(1):9–21.
8. Wang C, Jiang W, Yang K, Yu D, Newn J, Sarsenbayeva Z, et al. Electronic monitoring systems for hand hygiene: systematic review of technology. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23(11):e27880.
9. Arbogast JW, Moore LD, DiGiorgio M. The impact of automated hand hygiene monitoring with and without complementary improvement strategies on performance rates. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2023;44(4):638–42.
10. Pessoa-Silva CL, Hugonnet S, Pfister R, Touveneau S, Perneger TV, Pittet D. Reduction of healthcare-associated infection risk in neonates by successful hand hygiene promotion. *Pediatrics.* 2007;120(2):90.
11. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for hand hygiene in health-care settings: recommendations of the healthcare infection control practices advisory committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA hand hygiene task force. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2002;51(RR-16):1–45.
12. Haas JP, Larson EL. Measurement of compliance with hand hygiene. *J Hosp Infect.* 2007;66(1):6–14.
13. Marra AR, Guastelli LR, Araújo CM. Positive deviance: a new strategy for improving hand hygiene compliance. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2011;32(4):406–8.
14. McGuckin M, Taylor A, Martin V, Porten L, Salcido R. Evaluation of a patient education model for increasing hand hygiene compliance in an inpatient rehabilitation unit. *Am J Infect Control.* 2004;32(4):235–8.
15. Senges C, Herzer C, Norkus E, Krewing M, Mattner C, Rose L, et al. Workflows and locations matter—insights from electronic hand hygiene monitoring into the use of hand rub dispensers across diverse hospital wards. *Infect Prev Pract.* 2024;6(2):100364.
16. Apic. Two technology-based approaches that improved hand hygiene compliance are featured at infection prevention conference 2023 [Available from: <https://apic.org/two-technology-based-approaches-that-improved-hand-hygiene-compliance-are-featured-at-infection-prevention-conference/>].
17. Creedon SA. Healthcare workers' hand decontamination practices: compliance with recommended guidelines. *J Adv Nurs.* 2005;51(3):208–16.
18. Boyce JM, Laughman JA, Ader MH, Wagner PT, Parker AE, Arbogast JW. Impact of an automated hand hygiene monitoring system and additional promotional activities on hand hygiene performance rates and healthcare-associated infections. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2019;40(7):741–7.
19. Hammerschmidt J, Manser T. Nurses' knowledge, behaviour and compliance concerning hand hygiene in nursing homes: a cross-sectional mixed-methods study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2019;19(1):547.
20. Carrico RM, Garrett H, Balcom D, Glowicz JB. Infection prevention and control core practices: a roadmap for nursing practice. *Nursing.* 2018;48(8):28–9.
21. Monsalve MN, Pemmaraju SV, Thomas GW, Herman T, Segre AM, Polgreen PM. Do peer effects improve hand hygiene adherence among healthcare workers? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014;35(10):1277–85.
22. Boyce JM. Hand hygiene compliance monitoring: current perspectives from the USA. *J Hosp Infect.* 2008;70(Suppl 1):2–7.
23. Dick A, Sterr CM, Dapper L, Nonnenmacher-Winter C, Günther F. Tailored positioning and number of hand rub dispensers: the fundamentals for optimized hand hygiene compliance. *J Hosp Infect.* 2023;141:71–9.
24. Meza Sierra CU, Perez Jaimes GA, Rueda Díaz LJ. Interventions to improve knowledge or compliance to hand hygiene in nursing students: a scoping review. *J Infect Prev.* 2023;24(1):30–44.
25. Gould DJ, Gallagher R, Allen D. Leadership and management for infection prevention and control: what do we have and what do we need? *J Hosp Infect.* 2016;94(2):165–8.

26. World Health Organization. Hand Hygiene Self-Assessment Framework. Geneva: WHO; 2023. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/integrated-health-services/infection-prevention-control/hand-hygiene>
27. Saito H, Okamoto K, Fankhauser C, Tartari E, Pittet D. Train-the-trainers in hand hygiene facilitate the implementation of the WHO hand hygiene multimodal improvement strategy in Japan: evidence for the role of local trainers, adaptation, and sustainability. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2023;12:56.
28. Pfoh ER, Dy SM, Engineer L. The impact of hand hygiene compliance feedback on hospital-acquired infections: a systematic review. *J Patient Saf*. 2016;12(5):245–52.
29. Kouzes JM, Posner BZ. *The leadership challenge*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2003.
30. Landers T, Abusaleem S, Coty MB, Bingham J. Patient-centered hand hygiene: the next step in infection prevention. *Am J Infect Control*. 2012 May;40(4 Suppl 1):S11–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.02.006>. PMID: 22546268.